Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,915	PETROV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RASHEDUL HASSAN	2179	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>RASHEDUL HASSAN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Donna Jo Coningsby</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 April 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 6,356,931B2 and US 2004/0019639 A1</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Proposed amendments to claim 1 and Examiner's interpretation of the cited references were discussed. No agreement was reached as to allowable subject matter.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			